

G

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,435	03/31/2004	Dennis Postupack	01638.0010.NPUS02	3804	
22930 HOWREY LLI	7590 10/22/200°	7	EXAMINER		
C/O IP DOCK	ETING DEPARTMEN		LAZORCIK, JASON L		
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DR, SUITE 200 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924		200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
1					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/813,435	POSTUPACK ET AL.	POSTUPACK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jason L. Lazorcik	. 1791			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	3) ig
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	ln
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fe under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	٠,
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	:е
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling t	he
non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,6-16,46,49,55-59,61,and 64.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ıd
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	I
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 10. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's amendment to claim 1 if entered would overcome the objection of claims 1-16

With respect to the rejection of claims under §112, first paragraph, Applicant points to the specification (pg 10,1, pg12, [1023], and pg 18, [1047]) and argues that the specification as originally filed provides support for claim 74. The Examiner disagrees.

The specific excerpts indicated by Applicant state the following;

- 1) "the temperature of the molten baths may be in excess of 25oC above the annealing point of the glass" and "the surface temperature of the glass is at least about 25oC above the annealing point of the glass" pg 10
- 2) "the salt bath is maintained at a temperature of at least about 25oC above the annealing point of the glass" -pg12
- 3) " the bottles may be at least about 25oC above the annealing point of the glass" pg 18

While the excerpts make reference to the annealing point of the glass, none of the above excerpt passages provide support for the claimed limitation wherein the temperature of the salt bath is "at least about 25oC above the preheating temperature". The rejection of claim 74 stands as originally presented.

With respect to the final rejection of claims over prior art set forth in the Office Action dated July 6, 2007, Applicant presents the following arguments;

First Applicant argues that the prior art reference GB'164 which teaches a molten salt bath immersion time of 15 seconds does not anticipate Applicants claim of "about ten seconds or less". In response, it is the Examiners position that absent any showing of criticality or any substantially unexpected evidence to the contrary, an immersion time of 15 seconds in fact does in fact fall within the scope of the claimed limitation of "about ten seconds".

Second, with respect to claim 73, Applicant acknowledges that the prior art reference teaches preheating the surface of the glass sheet to a temperature "approximately that at which the molten potassium salt bath is maintained" prior to contacting the sheet with the bath. Applicant argues that the instant disclosure does not adequately anticipate the claimed limitation wherein the glass article is dipped "in a molten salt bath having a temperature more than said preheating temperature". Examiner disagrees.

Specifically the prior art disclosure which teaches preheating the sheet to "approximately" the bath temperature is understood to encompass the range wherein the sheet is heated to a temperature which is slightly lower or slightly higher than the temperature of the bath. In view of the foregoing, Applicants argument is not persuasive.

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/813,435		POSTUPACK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jason L. Lazorcik	1791		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

eq	uiren	endment document filed on <u>02 October 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the nents of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following is required.
ГНІ		LLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: 1. A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. 1. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. 1. C. Other
		2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
,		 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
		 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
		5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
or	furth	ner explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
ГΙΝ	1E PI	ERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
1.	filed	licant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment I after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the ire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.
2.	corr (inc ame Qua	dicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the rection, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment luding a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental endment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a sayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the -compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.
		Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.
	<u>F</u>	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Applicant has failed to appropriately annotate amended, added, and/or deleted subject material, See particularly claim 46.

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700